

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,038	07/13/2003	Frank Puttkammer	030563-US	6054
7590 12/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Karl Hormann			PUNNOOSE, ROY M	
P.O. Box 381516				<del></del>
Cambridge, M	A 02238-1516	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lon			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/619,038	PUTTKAMMER, FRANK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T	Roy M. Punnoose	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	<u>July 2003</u> .				
/ <del></del>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se action is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/423,275.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what the word "interdigitated" means because it is not defined in the specification. For the above reason, claim 3 has not been treated on its merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tureck et al (US\_4,303,307).
- 5. With regard to claims 1 and 5, Tureck et al (Tureck hereinafter) discloses a security element disposed in a web surface, comprising: at least one first surface element of electrically conductive and nonconductive sections (see col.3, lines 19-24) and at least one second surface element of optical diffraction (see col.5, lines 24-44 and specifically line 43).
- 6. With regard to claim 4, Tureck teaches that the electrically conductive sections are disposed in the web surface on different planes thereof (see Figure 3, col.5, lines 51-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,038 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tureck et al (US 4,303,307) in view of Irwin, Jr. et al (US\_5,818,019).
  - A. With regard to claims 2 and 6-8, Tureck teaches all claim limitations as disclosed above, except for the use of conductive ink and magnetically responsive elements disposed in a security element to prevent any fraud and for easy detection of security documents.
  - B. Irwin, Jr. et al (Irwin hereinafter) teaches the use of electrically conductive ink (see abstract, col.3, line 26), and magnetically responsive included in a security substrate(col.2, lines 33-40) to prevent any fraud and for easy detection of security documents. Irwin also teaches of semi-conductive ink (see abstract) which indicates that the conductivity of the security element is different from normally conductive elements.
  - C. In view of Irwin's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of conductive ink and magnetically responsive elements into any security element due to the fact that such a combination would provide additional security to prevent any fraud and for easy detection of any security documents.

Art Unit: 2877

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **571-272-2427.**The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy M. Punnoose Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 December 27, 2004

**Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.**Supervisory Patent Examiner